WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT IN KALINGARAYA CANAL – A DISTRIBUTARY OF RIVER BHAVANI ERODE DISTRICT, TAMILNADU

¹Balusamy K, ²Indrani T

¹Assts. Prof. in Perspective Education, KSR College of Education, Tiruchengode, Namakkal DT ²Librarian, KSR College of Education, Tiruchengode, Namakkal DT

Abstract: Environmental stability and cleanliness are of paramount importance for the existence of life. Slight changes in the environmental conditions of any ecosystem reflect the impact on the living organisms. Various anthropogenic activities are mainly responsible for the deterioration of environmental quality. In developing countries, the rapid industrialization with the resultant urbanization and the unplanned and excessive exploitation of natural resources are the major causes for pollution problem in cities and towns. According to Smith (1977), every human waste product which when ingested into the biosphere, affects the normal functioning of ecosystem and has adverse effects on animals and humans. Water is one of the most important and fundamental natural environmental resources and basic component of the ecosystem. It is the basic need of every living organism. The need of the hour is that the quality as well as the quantity of these vital resources should be maintained. But we are lacking in this effort because billions of gallons of waste water from cities, housing settlements, industries and agricultural fields are thrown into water courses to such an extent that 70% of streams and rive5rs of India contain polluted water (Rastogi and Jayaraj, 1987).

Keywords: waste water, natural resources, environmental resources, industries, agricultural fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is a country of river valley civilization as the civilization has grown up on the banks of the river. The industrial development has resulted since independence in the establishment of many industries mostly on the banks of rivers. But unfortunately man uses the river and other lotic habitats as a sink for the industrial wastes as well as domestic and municipal wastes. As a result, the water gets contaminated with harmful chemicals and microorganisms and becomes unsuitable for all legitimate uses including agricultural purposes; the quality of an aquatic ecosystem is mainly dependent on the physical and chemical qualities of water and also on biological diversity of the system.

Erode is more or less an industrial town with many small and large scale industries such as textile, dyeing and tannery industries, bleaching factories and so on. Most of them are situated in places where there are no municipal sewers so that the wastes from these units are to be discharged into the nearby water courses and small stagnant water bodies or stagnated in huge pits at the backyards. The water of the Kalingarayan Canal, distributaries of river Bhavani, is mainly used for agricultural purposes. But this canal is also used for the discharge of industrial effluents and domestic sewage at several points all along its course through a number of small and big drains.

In recent times, the protection and management of water resources is emerging as a major public concern at global level. To communicate the information status of water quality to policy makers, it is of prime important to study the physicchemical characteristics of water bodies. Therefore, the present work has been carried out to assess the quality of water in Kalingarayan canal through the analyses of physic-chemical parameters at selected stations.

ISSN 2348-313X (Print) International Journal of Life Sciences Research ISSN 2348-3148 (online)

Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp: (28-36), Month: April - June 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

STUDY AREA:

At a stretch of 2 km from B.P.Agraharam to Karaivaikal, the Kalingarayan canal receives effluents from various industries as well as domestic sewage. Different stations have been selected in the canal for the collection of effluents and water samples.

Station I: It is upstream point in the canal, 250 m ahead from a tannery located at B.P.Agraharam

Station II: It is upstream point in the canal, 250 m ahead from a tannery located at B.P.Agraharam mixes with the canal water (250 m downstream to station)

Station III: It is the downstream point (400 m away from station II) where the effluent from a bleaching factory at B.P Agraharam mixes with the canal water.

Station IV: It is the downstream point (300 m away from station III) where the sewage effluent originating from human dwelling at Vaniyamkalthottam mixes with the canal water.

Station V: It is the downstream point (200 m away from station IV) where the effluent originating from dyeing factory at Vaniyamkalthottam mixes with the canal water.

Station VI: It is the downstream point at Karaivaikal (700 m away from station V) which is free from the discharge of any pollutants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Samples:

The water samples were collected in plastic cans of 5 liter capacity after rinsing thoroughly with the same samples. In stations where the effluent mixes with the canal water, downstream samples were collected at a distance of 0.5 km of the confluence. Simultaneously, the raw effluents from their sources prior to mixing with the canal water were also collected separately.

DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS:

Colour:

The colour of the samples was determined by visual comparison method.

Odour:

The odour was described by direct smelling of the samples on the collection spot itself.

Temperature:

The temperature of the samples was recorded by using the mercury thermometer at the collection site itself.

pH:

The pH of the samples was determined by using universal wide and narrow range pH papers.

- Total suspended solids (TSS)
- Total dissolved solids (TDS)
- Dissolved oxygen (DO)
- Biochemical oxygen (BOD)
- Chemical oxygen demand (COD)
- Salinity
- Alkalinity
- Chlorides

- Sulphides
- Chromium
- Calcium
- Magnesium
- Nitrate
- Phosphate
- Chlorine
- Oil and grease
- Tannin and lignin

Statistical analysis:

The correlation coefficient between various parameters was arrived at. The data were tabulated and graphically represented.

3. RESULTS

The physic – chemical characteristics of various effluents and water samples collected from different stations were analyzed month wise from July 1999 to December 1999 and the data were presented in tables and figures.

Colour:

As shown in table 1, the effluents were found to have their own characteristic colour. The water samples from station II, IV and V were also coloured due to the mixing of effluents at these points. On the other hand, the samples from stations, I, III and VI were colorless during all the sampling months.

Odour:

Various effluents as well as the water samples from station, II, III and IV had unpleasant odour while the samples from stations I, V and VI were found to be odourless(Table 2).

Temperature:

From the table 3 and fig.2, it is clear that temperature for all the effluents and the water samples from different stations varied between 27° C and 30° C except the dyeing factory effluent which had the highest ranges of temperature 39° C - 40° C.

pH:

As presented in table 4 and fig. 3, the pH of the effluents was alkaline, the ranges of values being between 8.0 and 10.8. It was found that the bleaching factory effluent had maximum values and it was followed by the effluents of dyeing and tannery industries. The water samples from different stations had slightly lower pH than the effluents. (the ranges of values were between 7.3 and 9.6).

| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Tannery effluent | Dark Brown |
| Bleaching factory effluent | Turbid White |
| Sewage effluent | Light Black |
| Dyeing factory effluent | Pale Pink |
| Water sample from station I | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless |
| Water sample from station II | Pale Brown |
| Water sample from station III | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless |
| Water sample from station IV | Pale Block |
| Water sample from station V | Milky Pink |
| Water sample from station VI | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless | Colourless |

Table 2: Characteristics of odour in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period.

| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Tannery effluent | Highly foul smell |
| Bleaching factory effluent | Alkaline odour |
| Sewage effluent | Foul smell |
| Dyeing factory effluent | Pungent smell |
| Water sample from station I | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless |
| Water sample from station II | Light foul smell |
| Water sample from station III | Alkaline odour |
| Water sample from station IV | Foul smell |
| Water sample from station V | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless |
| Water sample from station VI | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless | Odourless |

Table3: Temperature (°C) in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period

| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Tannery effluent | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 |
| Bleaching factory effluent | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 33 |
| Sewage effluent | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 29 |
| Dyeing factory effluent | 40 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 40 |
| Water sample from station I | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 28 |
| Water sample from station II | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 29 |
| Water sample from station III | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 30 |
| Water sample from station IV | 28 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 |
| Water sample from station V | 34 | 32 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 32 |
| Water sample from station VI | 28 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 28 |



Fig1: Temperature in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period

| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Tannery effluent | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 |
| Bleaching factory effluent | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.8 |
| Sewage effluent | 7 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| Dyeing factory effluent | 10 | 10 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 10.2 |
| Water sample from station I | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| Water sample from station II | 8 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 8.2 |
| Water sample from station III | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8 | 8.4 |
| Water sample from station IV | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| Water sample from station V | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.6 |
| Water sample from station VI | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 |



Fig 2: pH in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period



| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Tannery effluent | 3216 | 5271 | 4821 | 2296 | 5164 | 3247 |
| Bleaching factory effluent | 6242 | 4634 | 4422 | 3117 | 4682 | 4253 |
| Sewage effluent | 8161 | 9118 | 7811 | 6886 | 7521 | 8015 |
| Dyeing factory effluent | 3421 | 2882 | 4118 | 2437 | 2827 | 3418 |
| Water sample from station I | 1102 | 1027 | 1218 | 805 | 984 | 1211 |
| Water sample from station II | 2824 | 4116 | 3854 | 2463 | 3615 | 2465 |
| Water sample from station III | 4621 | 4230 | 4012 | 3483 | 4815 | 3645 |
| Water sample from station IV | 8846 | 8815 | 7803 | 5216 | 7817 | 8819 |
| Water sample from station V | 3927 | 4211 | 3206 | 3105 | 3813 | 3214 |
| Water sample from station VI | 2145 | 1963 | 2937 | 1831 | 2039 | 2640 |



Fig 3: Total suspended solids(mg/l) in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period

 Table 6: Total dissolvedsolids(mg/l) in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period

| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Tannery effluent | 8125 | 6245 | 6033 | 5175 | 6116 | 7076 |
| Bleaching factory effluent | 6229 | 6246 | 5862 | 4987 | 4292 | 4546 |
| Sewage effluent | 6413 | 7217 | 6703 | 5212 | 6518 | 5423 |
| Dyeing factory effluent | 12427 | 10368 | 9982 | 8452 | 10527 | 10986 |
| Water sample from station I | 1558 | 1826 | 1453 | 1321 | 1281 | 1436 |
| Water sample from station II | 6716 | 5679 | 5974 | 5346 | 6816 | 6226 |
| Water sample from station III | 7319 | 7628 | 5742 | 4916 | 5989 | 6316 |
| Water sample from station IV | 5862 | 4631 | 5218 | 4213 | 4821 | 5416 |
| Water sample from station V | 8462 | 7914 | 7813 | 7693 | 8127 | 7629 |
| Water sample from station VI | 1827 | 1547 | 1618 | 1463 | 1752 | 1681 |



Fig4: Total dissolved solids (mg/l) in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period Table 7: Dissolved oxygen content (mg/l) in various effluents and water samples collected at different stations during the study period

| Samples / Period | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Tannery effluent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bleaching factory effluent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sewage effluent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dyeing factory effluent | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Water sample from station I | 5.2 | 5.25 | 6.62 | 7.23 | 7 | 6.46 |
| Water sample from station II | 3.12 | 4.16 | 4.25 | 6.39 | 5.27 | 6.15 |
| Water sample from station III | 2.36 | 3.31 | 3.63 | 4.38 | 4.02 | 3.62 |
| Water sample from station IV | 3.92 | 3.06 | 3.18 | 4.1 | 3.32 | 3.04 |
| Water sample from station V | 2.52 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Water sample from station VI | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.85 | 6.72 | 5.96 | 6.12 |





Total suspended solids (TSS):

While all the effluents had a higher concentration of total suspended solids, the sewge effluent was at the top in having very high values (6886 - 9118 mg/1). Consequently, all the water samples were also found to have higher values (5216 to 8846 mg/1). It is also evident that the concentration of TSS was lesser during the month of October when compared to other sampling months (table 5 and fig 4).

Total dissolved solids (TDS):

Table and fig. 5 depict that the concentration of dissolved solids was also found to be higher in the effluents, of which the dyeing factory effluent had the maximum value (upto 12427 mg/1), followed by the effluents of tannery and bleaching industries and sewage water. Among the water samples analyzed, the higher values were estimated for the station II, III, IV and V whereas the water samples from I and VI had lesser quantity of TDS which ranged between 1281 and 1827 mg/1.

Dissolved oxygen (DO):

The DO of the effluents and the water samples from various sampling stations were shown in table 7 fig. 6. It is noteworthy that all the effluents were characterized by having no oxygen content. Among various stations, the DO was highest at station (5020- 7.23 mg/l) and station (5.85 - 6.72 mg/l). Generally higher values had been recorded for all the water samples during October and the lower values in July.

4. DISCUSSION

Since our independence, India has achieved considerable progress in industrialization and today it is among the first ten industrialized nation of the world. However, there is always some amount of environmental degradation because many toxic chemicals are released into different compartments of the environment either by industries or by agriculture and public operations or through the automobile exhaust.

A successful pollution abatement depends not only on treatment and but also on efficient monitoring of the general environment. The monitoring of water pollution implies regular assessment of one or more parameters which may include physic – chemical and biological monitoring. The physico–chemical monitoring includes a continuous recording of a large number of parameters as indicators of water quality.

The present study has been carried out by selecting specific location of the local canal which gets pollute by effluents from tannery and bleaching factory as well as sewage wastes within a short stretch of 2 kms. The water samples of the

present study from stationII, IV and V show colour variations because the industries like tannery, bleaching factory and dye making discharge coloured and toxic effluents which are discharged into the canal water. Most of the colours bearing waste waters are found to contain high COD and BOD values affecting the water quality so that it cannot be used for drinking purposes.

It is exemplified that the dissolved oxygen is also shown to affect the solubility and availability of many nutrients in water courses (Wetzel, 1993). Rajagopalan(1990) has also recorded that the organic constituents besides inorganic chemicals may change the oxygen balance in streams. In the present study, the higher values of dissolved oxygen content in the water samples of station I indicate that this station is free from organic pollution. In almost all the mixing points, a lower concentration of oxygen has been recorded in the water samples during all the sampling months except October and November. This indicates a high organic load in the flowing water of the canal resulting in oxygen depletion. Badge and Verma (1985) have shown that the decomposition of organic matter could be an important factor for the decreased oxygen level which is more vigorous in warm waters.

All natural waters contain various amounts of different salts which are responsible for the salinity of water. The desirable limit of chloride content prescribed by BIS (1991) is 250mg/l and the permissible limit is 1000 mg/l. but all the effluents and the water samples except at station IV contain chloride concentrations exceeding the above limits. In the present study, both effluents and the water samples are found to contain excess concentrations of sulphide. Sulphur is the most damaging constituent because it is positively correlated with BOD and negatively correlated with dissolved oxygen.

Thus the perusal of results clearly indicates that the quality of the Kalingarayan canal at the study area is greatly affected due to the discharge of the toxic effluents and sewage water. In such places where the pollutants are being introduces, more than one toxic chemicals may be present. Therefore, the wastes have to be made to reduce the pollution load in the canal through long term management plans. The identification of core areas and regulation of discharges of pollutants into the canal water are utmost important for the sustainable habitat conservation. For this, factual information about the water quality status and the related effects are to be carried out further along the entire course of the canal for an effective control of water quality.

5. SUMMARY

- 1. Studies were carried out of find the effect of various pollutants at Kalingarayan canal on the water quality stretch of 2 km from B.P Agraharam to Karivaikl.
- 2. Six stations were identified in the canal (station I, the upstream point, free from the discharge of pollutants; station II, the admixing point of the tannery effluent and the canal water; station III, the admixing point of bleaching factory effluent and the canalwate; station IV, the admixing point of sewage and the canal water; station, the admixing point of dyeing factory effluent and the canal water and station VI, the downstream point which is free from the discharge of the pollutants.)
- 3. The water samples were collected from each station for period of six months from July 1999 to December 1999. Simultaneously, the raw effluents for their sources prior to mixing with the canal water were also collected.
- 4. To assess, the water quality of the canal water, physic chemical characteristics of the effluents and water samples from various stations were analysed.
- 5. The analyses clearly indicated that the quality of the water in the Kalingarayan canal at the study area was greatly affected due to the discharge of pollutants.
- 6. The data obtained were discussed with relevant literature.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, M.I, Ecological problems in Kurranad. In: Contemporary Zoology, ed. T. Varghese, Dept. of Zoology, M.T.College, Tiruvalla, PP. 80 – 90. (1993)
- Badge, U.S. and Verma, A.K. Limnology studies on J.N.U. lake, New Delhi, India. Bull. Bot. Soc. Sagar, 32: 16-23. (1985)

- [3] Mathew Koshy, T. and Muralidharan, S., Water quality aspects of River Pamba. Poll. Res., Vasudevan Nayar ,18(4): 501 510.(1999)
- [4] Reni Prabha, A. and Subramanian, M.A, Paper and pulp mill effluent induced histomorphological alterations in the tissues of fresh water fish Oreochromis Mossambicus (Peters) . J. Ecol. Res & Biocon., 1 (1) : 19 22.(2000)
- [5] Ragothaman, G., and Ramaiah. P.V. Studies on physic-chemical parameter of Tab estuary, Marine biology and mariculture. Ed. V. Krishnamurthy, 1 14. (1986)
- [6] Rastigu, V.B. and Jayaraj, M.S., Animal ecology and distribution of animals, Kedarnath Ramanath, Meerut. (1987)
- [7] Smith, R.L., Elements of ecology and field biology. Horper and Dow Publications, New York.(1977)
- [8] Udash, R.K., Ecological Characteristics of Tamar lake in royal, Chitwan National Park. Dissertation submitted to central dept. of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu. (1996)